DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WATER PROTECTION BUREAU

P.O. Box 200901 Helena, Montana 59620-0901 (406) 444-3080

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Division/Bureau: Permitting & Compliance Division, Water Protection Bureau, Montana Pollutant

Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) Permit Section

Project or Application: Missoula County Commissioners Rural Sewer Improvement District 901 Lolo

Wastewater Treatment Plant; MPDES permit number MT0020168

Description of Project: This is for the renewal of a wastewater discharge permit for the Missoula County

Commissioners Rural Sewer Improvement District 901 Publicly-Owned

Treatment Works activated sludge wastewater treatment plant. Discharge is to the Bitterroot River, which is classified B1 by the Montana Surface Water

Quality Standards.

Benefits and Purpose of Proposal:

To ensure adequate treatment of domestic wastewater before discharging to the Bitterroot River listed as partially supportive of aquatic life support-trout and cold water fisheries on both the 1996 and 2004 303(d) lists.

Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives whenever alternatives are reasonably available and prudent to consider:

None

Listing and appropriate evaluation of mitigation, stipulations and other controls enforceable by this or another government agency:

See Statement of Basis

Affected Environment and Effects from the Proposed Project:

	PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT			
Rank	Consideration	Remarks		
N	Soil Suitability, Topographic and/or Geologic Constraints (soil moisture, unstable soils or geologic conditions, steep slopes, erosion potential, subsidence potential, seismic activity)	No changes.		
N	2. HAZARDOUS FACILITIES (power lines, hazardous waste sites, distances from explosive and flammable hazards including chemical/petroleum storage tanks, underground fuel storage tanks and related facilities such as natural gas storage facilities and propane tanks)	Suitably located facility.		
N	3. AIR QUALITY (effects to, or from project, dust, odors, emissions)	None historically or expected to be associated with this facility		
В	4. GROUNDWATER RESOURCES & AQUIFERS (quality/nondegradation, quantity/reliability, distribution, uses/rights, number of aquifers, mixing zones)	Increased design flow will allow for additional hooks ups to POTW, potentially removing septic systems.		
В	5. Surface Water Resources (quality/nondegradation, quantity/reliability, distribution, uses/rights, storm water controls, source of community supply, community treatment, mixing zones)	The permit reflects effluent limit changes due to the facility increased design flow to meet nondegradation rules. Mixing zone length is described; a requirement to define the full mixing zone has been included.		
В	6. VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE SPECIES AND HABITATS, INCLUDING FISHERIES AND AQUATIC RESOURCES (threatened, endangered, sensitive species, prime habitat, population stability, potential for human wildlife conflicts, effectiveness of post-disturbance plans)	Application of TN and TP loads limitations have been included as interim limits until watershed TMDL developed in 2007 – 2009 timeframe.		
В	7. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE, OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES (biologic, topographic, wetlands (within one mile), floodplains (within one mile), scenic rivers, natural resource areas, etc.)	Application of TN and TP loads limitations have been included as interim limits until watershed TMDL developed in 2007 – 2009 timeframe.		
N	8. Land Use (waste disposal, agricultural lands [grazing, cropland, forest lands, prime farmland], recreational lands [waterways, parks, playgrounds, open space, federal lands), access, commercial and industrial facilities [production & activity, growth or decline], growth, land-use change, development activity)	No changes.		
N	9. HISTORICAL, CULTURAL, & ARCHEOLOGICAL (sites, facilities, uniqueness, diversity)	No changes.		
N	10. AESTHETICS (visual quality, nuisances, odors, noise)	No changes.		
N	11. DEMANDS ON OR CHANGES IN ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES INCLUDING LAND, WATER, AIR, OR ENERGY USE (need for new or upgraded energy sources, potential for recycling, etc.) {See (4), (5), and (8).}	No changes.		

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		-

	Impacts on the Human Population			
Rank	Consideration	Remarks		
В	12. CHANGES IN DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS (population quantity, distribution and density, rate of change)	Increased design flow may allow for additional hooks ups to POTW, potentially removing septic systems.		
N	13. GENERAL HOUSING CONDITIONS (quality, quantity and affordability)	No changes.		
N	14. POTENTIAL FOR DISPLACEMENT OR RELOCATION OF BUSINESS OR RESIDENTS	No changes.		
В	15. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY (medical services and facilities, police, fire protection and hazards [see (2)], emergency medical services [see (8), LAND USE for waste disposal])	Increased disinfection requirements will protect public health for secondary recreational uses. Increased design flow may allow for additional hooks ups to POTW, potentially removing septic systems.		
N	16. LOCAL EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME PATTERNS (quantity and distribution of employment, economic impact)	No changes.		
N	17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND REVENUES	No changes.		
N	18. EFFECTS ON SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES (social conventions/standards of social conduct), DEMANDS ON SOCIAL SERVICES (law enforcement, educational facilities [libraries, schools, colleges, universities], welfare, etc.)	No changes.		
N	19. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK (condition and use of roads, traffic flow conflicts, rail, airport compatibility, etc.)	No changes.		
N	20. CONSISTENCY WITH LOCAL ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS, OR PLANS (conformance with local comprehensive plans, zoning or capital improvement plans)	Increased design flow may allow for additional hooks ups to POTW, potentially removing septic systems.		

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		

	21.	REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS ON	No changes.
		PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS (Are we	
		regulating pursuant to a police power?	
		Does the Agency action restrict the use	
		of the property beyond the minimum	
		necessary to achieve compliance with	
		the Act? What are the costs of such	
N		additional restrictions resulting from	
		proposed permit conditions? Are there	
		other, less restrictive ways of achieving	
		the same goal? See your assigned legal	
		counsel for assistance preparing this	
		section. [See the Private Property	
		Assessment Act checklist accompanying	
		this permit for details.]	

PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT CHECKLIST

Does the proposed agency action have takings implications under the Private Property Assessment Act?

SM: Rev.1

Query YES/NO Remarks/Justification 1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental No regulation affecting private real property or water rights? 2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical No occupation of private property? Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the No property? Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? No 5. (If NO, then skip to (6).) Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of No property or to grant an easement? a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government NA requirement and legitimate state interests? b. Is the government requirement NA roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property? Does the action have a severe impact No on the value of the property? Does the action damage the property (If NO, then skip to (8).) by causing some physical disturbance No with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		

generally?	
a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?	NA
 b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded? 	NA
c. Has government action diminished property values be more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?	NA
8. Do taking or damaging implications exist? (1) Taking or damaging implications exist if the answer to questions 5a or 5b is NO, or if the answer to any other question is YES.	No

^{1.} If taking or damaging implications exist the agency must comply with ∋5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

Other groups or governmental agencies contacted or which may have overlapping jurisdiction: None

Public Involvement:

30-day comment period

Individuals or groups contributing to this EA:

State of Montana, Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Permitting and Compliance Division, Water Protection Bureau

Summary of Issues:

See Statement of Basis

Summary of Potential Effects:

See Statement of Basis

Cumulative Effects:

None

Recommendation:

Grant the permit reissue

Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		

Permit No.:MT0020168 Page 5 of 6

Prepare an EIS	Prepare a more de	etailed EA	X No further analysis
EA prepared by: Melee K. Valett	Date: Decem	ber 12, 2006	
Bureau Check-off AWMB IEMB	CSB WPB X	EMB Other	
Approved by:			
(Signature)		(Date)	
Bonnie Lovelace, Chief Water Protection Bureau			

		Key to Rank	
NA	Not applicable	N	No effects
В	Potentially beneficial effects	A	Potentially adverse effects
M	Corrective action required	P	Additional permits will be
	required		_